

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,911	07/07/2003	Hiroshi Tone	239832US3CONT	4319	
22850	7590 09/06/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			ROANE, AARON F		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
•			DATE MAILED: 09/06/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•				7				
		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		1			
Office Action Summary		10/612,9) 11	TONE ET AL.					
		Examine	r	Art Unit					
		. Aaron Ro		3739					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months affed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. If 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no extraction. If days, a reply within the stautory period will apply and viril, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, may a r atutory minimum of thin will expire SIX (6) MON plication to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status				٠.					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	t on 16 August 200:	5.						
,	•	b)⊠ This action is i							
3)									
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 8-25</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>6,8-21 and 23-25</u> is/are rejection(s) <u>22</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from co							
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the certified c	documents have be documents have be of the priority docum nal Bureau (PCT Ru	en received en received in A nents have beer ule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National S	Stage				
Attachmei	nt(s)								
2) Noti 3) Info	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO	ı-152)		•		

Application/Control Number: 10/612,911

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 8-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975).

Regarding claim 6, Usui discloses a heat generator comprising: a heat generation portion comprising a heat generating composition (3) and a paper sheet (4), the heat generating composition including a metal powder, and a salt to be reacted with water and an adhesive layer (7) provided on a surface of the heat generator adapted to be applied to at least one of skin or mucosa of a user of the heat generator, see col. 1-24 and figures 1-4. Usui fails to explicitly recite that the heat generator, the heat generating composition and the metal powder and the salt to be reacted with water are adapted to discharge steam as the metal powder is oxidized. Additionally, Usui fails to recite the heat/steam generating

composition is disposed in pore-like structures of the paper sheet and the adhesive layer includes at least one opening through which the steam is discharged. Although Usui is silent regarding the heat generating composition adapted to generate stem, Usui implies that the exothermic heat generating composition generates steam (i.e., moisture) in col. 6, lines 17-21. Lacking an explicit recitation that the exothermic heat generating composition also generates steam, Applicant should note it is extremely well known in the art that many exothermic heat generating compositions also generate steam, moisture, water vapor. Miyashita illustrates this point very well. Miyashita discloses a hot compress device that uses many ingredients of the heat generating composition of Usui, see col. 3-10 and figures 1-9. Miyashita et al. teach providing an exothermic reaction that relies on the oxidation of a metal powder and the release of steam in order to provide heat that is prolonged and maintained via the release of steam, see col. 1-8, particularly col. 2-4. Kuratomi et al. disclose a heat/steam generating device and teach providing the device's adhesive layer (8) with holes (11) in order to provide ventilation of the steam/vapor through the adhesive layer and to the skin of the patient, see col. 1-4 and figures 1-8. Finally, Koiso et al. disclose an exothermic heating device for heating a body portion or food and teach providing the exothermic composition (comprising 4) in the pores/gaps (2) of a sheet-like structure (3) in order to assure "uniform distribution and firm support of the oxidizable metal powder or the heat-generating substance, the body is flexible, is free from one-sided distribution of the heat generating substance, and has excellent heat generating capability," see abstract and col. 1-7 and figures 1-7. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

to modify the invention of Usui, as is well known in the art and taught by Miyashita, to provide an exothermic reaction that relies on the oxidation of a metal powder and the release of steam in order to provide heat that is prolonged and maintained via the release of steam, and also as taught by Kuratomi et al., to provide the device's adhesive layer with holes in order to provide ventilation of the steam/vapor through the adhesive layer and to the skin of the patient, and as further taught by Koiso et al., to provide the exothermic composition in the pores/gaps of a sheet-like structure in order to assure "uniform distribution and firm support of the oxidizable metal powder or the heat-generating substance, the body is flexible, is free from one-sided distribution of the heat generating substance, and has excellent heat generating capability."

Regarding claim 8, Usui in view of Miyashita in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 9, Usui discloses and teaches the use of an acrylic adhesive in order to provide the desired cohesion or tackiness, see col. 15-20. Since Applicant asserts on page 17, last paragraph, lines 4-9, that acrylic adhesives serve as a water-soluble adhesive, Usui in view of Miyashita in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 10 and 12, Usui in view of Miyashita in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention.

Regarding claims 11, 13 and 14, Usui in view of Miyashita in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention, see element (9) in Kuratomi et al. col. 3 and 4 and figure 1.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Usui discloses an exothermic application pad and teaches including a cosmetic or pharmaceutical component in the adhesive layer in order to improve a local therapeutic effect, see claim 23 and col. 14, lines 26-60.

Regarding claim 25, Usui in view of Miyashita in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention. The embodiments disclosed by Kuratomi et al. certainly meet the recitation that the adhesive layer covers approximately 50% to approximately 99.9% of a surface to which the steam generator is applied.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Kamiyama (USPN 6,669,953 B1).

Regarding claim 17, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes at least one of acidic mucopolysaccharides, chamomile, horse chestnut, ginkgo, hamamelis extract, vitamin E, nicotinic acid

derivatives, and alkaloid compounds. Kamiyama discloses a drug delivery patch comprising and adhesive layer and teach that the adhesive layer may contain vitamin E in order to increase its adhesive properties, see col. 4, line 60 through col. 5, line 2. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al., to use an adhesive layer contains vitamin E in order to increase its adhesive properties.

Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Betrabet et al. (USPN 5,618,281).

Regarding claim 18, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes at least one of horse chestnut, flavone derivatives, naphthalenesulfonic acid derivatives, anthocyanins, vitamin P, calendula officinalis, concholytic acid, silanol, Terminalia, Visnaga, and Majus. Betrabet et al. disclose a polysiloxane adhesive composition that is useful in attaching products to human skin and teach the use of silanol in the adhesive in order to induce crosslinking to prepare the desired polysiloxane adhesive composition and improve desired adhesive properties, see col. 2-3. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/612,911

Art Unit: 3739

ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al., as taught by Betrabet et al., to use silanol in the adhesive in order to induce crosslinking to prepare the desired polysiloxane adhesive composition and improve desired adhesive properties.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Hoffman et al. (USPN 6,190,689 B1).

Regarding claim 19, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes at least one of aminophylline, tea extract, caffeine, xanthine derivatives, inositol, dextransulfuric acid derivatives, horse chestnut, aescin, anthocyanadin, organoiodine compounds, Hyperictlm erectum, Spiraea japonica, Equisetum arvense, Rosmarinus officinalis, gingsen, Hedera rhombea, thomucase, and hyaluronidase. Hoffman et al. disclose a therapeutic device comprising hydrophilic pressure sensitive adhesive and teach the use of adhesives containing horse chestnut in order to treat contusions, distortions and/or haemorrhages or tea extracts in order to treat the circulatory system, see col. 4, line 57 through col. 5, line 16. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in

further view of Koiso et al., as taught by Hoffman et al., to use adhesives containing horse chestnut in order to treat contusions, distortions and/or haemorrhages or tea extracts in order to treat the circulatory system.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Effing et al. (USPN 6,193,996 B1).

Regarding claim 20, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes at least one of indometacin, diclofenac, dl-camphor, flurbiprofen, ketoprofen, cayenne pepper extract, piroxicam, felbinac, methyl salycilate, and glycol salicylate. Effing et al. disclose a therapeutic device for the transdermal delivery of diclofenac and teach the use of adhesives containing diclofenac in order to improve the transdermal delivery of diclofenac for the treatment of inflammation of pain relief, see col. 2. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al., as taught by Effing et al., to use adhesives containing diclofenac in order to improve the transdermal delivery of diclofenac for the treatment of inflammation of pain relief.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Basedow et al. (USPN 6,198,017 B1).

Regarding claim 21, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes polyol. Basedow et al. disclose medical pressure-sensitive adhesives and teach the use of adhesives containing polyol in order to provide improved adhesion to dry, moist and wet skin, see col. 1, line 43 through col. 2, line 65. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al., as taught by Basedow et al., to use adhesives containing polyol in order to provide improved adhesion to dry, moist and wet skin.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usui (USPN 5,879,378) in view of Miyashita (USPN 5,233,981) and in further view of Kuratomi et al. (USPN 4,747,841) and still in further view of Koiso et al. (USPN 5,425,975) as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Tsutsumi (USPN 6,841,716 B1).

Regarding claim 23, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. disclose the claimed invention except for reciting the use an adhesive layer that includes polyol. Tsutsumi discloses a device having an adhesive layer and teach the use of adding calcium thioglycolate to serve as an absorption accelerator of the pressure sensitive adhesive in order to improve the penetration of a drug through the skin, see col. 4, lines 10-52. Therefore at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al., as taught by Tsutsumi, to use calcium thioglycolate to serve as an absorption accelerator of the pressure sensitive adhesive in order to improve the penetration of a drug through the skin.

Regarding claim 24, Usui in view of Miyashita and in further view of Kuratomi et al. and still in further view of Koiso et al. in further view of Tsutsumi disclose the claimed invention except for explicitly reciting that adhesive layer contains an autonomic regulating agent that includes γ-oryzanol. Tsutsumi does however disclose that autonomic agents are combined with the pressure sensitive adhesive whenever a pressure sensitive adhesive is combined with a drug to achieve a desired effect, see col. 4, lines 38-53. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to specifically use γ-oryzanol as an autonomic regulating agent because Applicant has not disclosed γ-oryzanol provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem over other autonomic

regulating agents. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any of a wide variety of autonomic regulating agents as opposed to the specific use of γ -oryzanol, because they both produce the same desired effect.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Regarding Applicants amendments to claims 17-24, the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been overcome.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,911

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Roane whose telephone number is (571) 272-4771. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A.R. **A.R.** August 30, 2005

PRIMARY EXAMINICA

J. Gibson

Page 12